

PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

**STAFF REPORT
FOR THE
KLAMATH RIVER
TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS (TMDLs)
and ACTION PLAN ADDRESSING
TEMPERATURE, DISSOLVED OXYGEN, NUTRIENT, and
MICROCYSTIN IMPAIRMENTS IN CALIFORNIA**



June 2009



State of California
North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
5550 Skylane Boulevard, Suite A
Santa Rosa, California 95403
707-576-2220
www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast



TMDL Development Team

Steve Butkus
Katharine Carter
Clayton Creager
Elmer Dudik
Ranjit Gill
David Leland
Holly Lundborg
Alydda Mangelsdorf
Bryan McFadin
Samantha Olsen
Matt St. John
Ben Zabinsky

This TMDL is dedicated in loving memory to Elmer Dudik and Dr. Ranjit Gill who worked tirelessly in their duties to improve water quality in the Klamath River basin and throughout the North Coast to ensure that California's water resources were pollutant free, and beneficial uses were protected for all to enjoy. Their work to protect and restore water quality is a lasting testament to their dedication to the environment and to the people of California. They are sorely missed.

Acknowledgments

Working in collaboration with Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, US Environmental Protection Agency Regions 9 and 10, and Tetra Tech, Inc., the TMDL Development Team of the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board was responsible for data collection, data interpretation, modeling, and preparation of the report. This report would not have been possible without the efforts and contributions of many people and organizations including:

- US EPA Region 9 – Susan Keydel, Gail Louis, and Maria Rea
- US EPA Region 10 – Ben Cope and Mark Filippini
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality – Steve Kirk, Eric Nigg, Dan Turner
- Tetra Tech, Inc. – John Butcher, Mustafa Faizullahoy, Andrew Parker, and Rui Zou
- US Geologic Survey – Debra Curry, Alan Flint, Laurie Flint
- The multiple agencies, Tribes, companies, and private individuals who collected data in the basin

Our thanks and appreciation to you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
 CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview	1-1
1.2 Report Organization	1-4
1.3 TMDL Development and Adoption Process	1-5
1.4 Regulatory Framework and Purpose of the TMDL	1-6
1.5 Other Ongoing Regulatory Processes in the Klamath River Basin	1-7
1.5.1 Tribal Trust Responsibilities	1-8
1.5.2 ESA Consultation	1-8
1.5.3 Water Quality Certification	1-8
1.5.4 Klamath Basin Restoration Agreement and Agreement in Principal	1-9
1.6 Physical Setting	1-9
1.6.1 Population and Land Ownership	1-10
1.6.2 Topography, Geology and Soils	1-10
1.6.3 Vegetation	1-16
1.6.4 Climate	1-16
1.6.5 Hydrology	1-20
1.6.6 Water Use	1-21
1.6.6.1 Water Rights of the Klamath River Basin, California	1-23
Chapter 1. References	1-26
 CHAPTER 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT	
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.1.1 Non-TMDL Factors and Other Regulatory Processes	2-2
2.2 Water Quality Standards	2-3
2.2.1 Water Quality Control Plan for the North Coast Region	2-4
2.2.1.1 Beneficial Uses	2-4
2.2.1.2 Water Quality Objectives	2-5
<i>Temperature</i>	2-5
<i>Dissolved Oxygen</i>	2-6
<i>Nutrients</i>	2-7
<i>Nutrient-Related Water Quality Objectives</i>	2-7
2.2.1.3 Antidegradation Policies	2-8
2.2.1.4 Program of Implementation	2-8
2.2.2 Tribal Water Quality Standards	2-9
2.2.2.1 Hoopa Valley Tribe Beneficial Uses	2-9
2.2.2.2 Hoopa Valley Tribe Water Quality Criteria	2-9
<i>Dissolved Oxygen</i>	2-9
<i>Nutrients</i>	2-10
<i>Nutrient-Related Water Quality Criteria</i>	2-11

2.2.2.3	Karuk Tribe, Resighini Rancheria, and Yurok Tribe Beneficial Uses	2-11
2.2.2.4	Karuk Tribe, Resighini Rancheria, and Yurok Tribe, Water Quality Objectives and Criteria	2-12
2.3	Numeric Targets for the Klamath River basin TMDLs	2-15
2.3.1	Temperature	2-15
2.3.2	Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient-Related	2-15
2.3.2.1	Benthic Algae Biomass	2-15
2.3.2.2	Suspended Algae Chlorophyll-a, <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> , and Microcystin Toxin	2-16
2.4	Water Quality Conceptual Models Overview	2-26
2.4.1	Klamath River Nutrient and Temperature Conceptual Models	2-27
2.4.2	Nutrient Conceptual Model Environmental Conditions and Cofactors	2-31
2.4.2.1	Nutrient Related Effects on Productivity	2-31
2.4.2.2	Nutrient Related Effects on Ammonia Toxicity	2-35
2.4.2.3	Nutrient Risk Cofactors	2-35
2.4.3	Temperature Conceptual Model Environmental Conditions and Cofactors	2-37
2.4.3.1	Thermal Processes Related to Solar Loading	2-37
2.4.3.2	Thermal Processes Related to Sediment Load	2-38
	<i>Increased Width-to-Depth Ratios</i>	2-38
	<i>Decreased Hyporheic Exchange</i>	2-39
2.4.3.3	Thermal Processes Related to Flow	2-40
2.4.3.4	Thermal Processes Related to Direct Thermal Discharges	2-40
2.4.3.5	Thermal Processes Related to Impoundments	2-41
2.4.3.6	Temperature Risk Cofactors	2-41
2.4.4	Responses/Outcomes	2-42
2.4.4.1	Migration/Avoidance Barrier (Da1)	2-42
2.4.4.2	Decreased Spawning and Reproductive Success (Da2)	2-43
2.4.4.3	Increased Physiological Stress (Da3)	2-43
2.4.4.4	Increased Incidence of Fish Disease (<i>Ceratomyxa shasta</i> and <i>Columnaris</i>) (Da4)	2-44
2.4.4.5	Decreased Juvenile Growth (Da5)	2-45
2.4.4.6	Increased Fish Mortality and Lower Overall Populations (Da6, Da7, Da8)	2-46
2.4.4.7	Impacts to Cultural and Harvest-Related Activities (Da9, Da10, Da11)	2-46
2.4.4.8	Impacts to Municipal Supply, Recreation, and Traditional/Cultural Use (Db1, Db2, Db3, Db4)	2-47
2.5	Evidence of Water Quality Objective and Numeric Target Exceedances	2-47
2.5.1	Temperature and Nutrient Data Sources	2-47
2.5.2	Temperature	2-47
2.5.2.1	Mainstem Klamath River	2-49
2.5.2.2	Tributaries to the Klamath River	2-52
2.5.2.3	Reservoirs	2-53
2.5.3	Nutrients and Indicators of Nutrient-Related Impairment	2-54
2.5.3.1	Nutrient Concentrations	2-54

2.5.3.2	Benthic Algal Biomass	2-56
2.5.3.3	Diurnal DO and pH	2-57
2.5.3.4	Chlorophyll-a – Reservoirs	2-59
2.5.4	Blue-Green Algae and Microcystin Toxin	2-61
2.5.5	Dissolved Oxygen	2-63
2.5.6	pH	2-66
2.5.7	Ammonia Toxicity	2-67
2.5.8	Sediment	2-68
2.6	Evidence of Beneficial Use Impairment	2-69
2.6.1	Evidence of Impairment to Cold Freshwater Habitat (COLD), Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE), Migration of Aquatic Organisms (MIGR), and Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN)	2-70
2.6.1.1	Salmonid Population Decline	2-70
	<i>Fall Chinook Salmon</i>	2-70
	<i>Spring Chinook Salmon</i>	2-70
	<i>Steelhead Trout</i>	2-70
	<i>Spring/Summer Steelhead Trout</i>	2-71
	<i>Fall Steelhead Trout</i>	2-71
	<i>Winter Steelhead Trout</i>	2-71
	<i>Coho Salmon</i>	2-71
2.6.1.2	Juvenile and Adult Fish Kills	2-72
2.6.1.3	Adult and Juvenile Salmonid Migration Barriers and Spawning and Rearing Habitat Degradation	2-74
2.6.2	Impairment of Native American Culture (CUL) and Subsistence Fishing (FISH) Beneficial Uses	2-76
2.6.2.1	Decline in Salmonid and Other Fish Populations	2-76
2.6.2.2	Degraded Water Quality	2-78
2.6.3	Impairment of Water Contact Recreation (REC-1), Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC-2), and Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN)	2-82
2.6.3.1	Recreational Impacts	2-82
2.6.3.2	Health Impacts	2-83
2.6.3.3	Aesthetic Impacts	2-83
2.6.4	Impairment of Commercial and Sport Fishing (COMM)	2-84
2.6.4.1	In-River Sport Fishing Impairment	2-84
2.6.4.2	Ocean Sport Fishing Impairment	2-85
2.6.4.3	In-River Commercial Fishery Impairment	2-85
2.6.4.4	Ocean Commercial Fishery Impairment	2-85
2.7	Problem Statement Synthesis	2-87
	Chapter 2. References	2-89

CHAPTER 3. ANALYTIC APPROACH

3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Modeling Approach	3-1
3.2.1	Primary Models Applied	3-1
3.2.1.1	Model Configuration and Testing	3-4
3.2.1.2	Assumptions, Limitations, and Uncertainty	3-7

3.2.2	Nutrient Numeric Endpoint Analysis.....	3-7
3.3	Model Application to TMDL Determination	3-8
3.3.1	Current Conditions	3-9
3.3.2	Natural Conditions Baseline.....	3-9
3.3.3	TMDL Compliance	3-10
3.3.3.1	Temperature Compliance in Oregon	3-10
3.3.3.2	Temperature Compliance in California.....	3-10
	<i>Shasta River</i>	3-11
	<i>Scott River</i>	3-12
	<i>Salmon River</i>	3-16
	<i>Trinity River</i>	3-17
3.3.3.3	Dissolved Oxygen and pH Compliance in Oregon	3-17
3.3.3.4	Dissolved Oxygen Compliance in California.....	3-18
3.3.4	Dam Impacts	3-18
	Chapter 3. References.....	3-19

CHAPTER 4. POLLUTANT SOURCE ANALYSIS

4.1	Introduction.....	4-1
4.1.1	Pollutant Source Categories	4-2
4.1.2	Natural Conditions Baseline - Background Loads.....	4-3
4.1.3	Pollutant Source Loads – Overview	4-5
4.2	Pollutant Source Area Loads	4-11
4.2.1	Stateline – Upper Klamath Basin.....	4-11
4.2.1.1	Temperature.....	4-11
4.2.1.2	Nutrients and Organic Matter.....	4-12
4.2.2	Copco 1 and 2 and Iron Gate Reservoirs	4-13
4.2.2.1	Temperature.....	4-13
4.2.2.2	Dissolved Oxygen, Nutrients, Organic Matter, Chlorophyll-a, <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> and Microcystin	4-15
	<i>Dissolved Oxygen</i>	4-15
	<i>Changed Environment, Internal Nutrient Cycling, and Biostimulatory Conditions</i>	4-16
	<i>Role of Copco and Iron Gate Reservoirs in Klamath River Nutrient Dynamics</i>	4-19
4.2.3	Iron Gate Hatchery.....	4-21
4.2.3.1	Temperature.....	4-21
4.2.3.2	Nutrients and Organic Matter.....	4-22
4.2.4	Tributaries	4-22
4.2.4.1	Temperature.....	4-22
	<i>Shasta River</i>	4-23
	<i>Scott River</i>	4-25
	<i>Trinity River</i>	4-28
	<i>Effects of Shade on Klamath River Tributaries</i>	4-29
	<i>Effects of Sediment Loads on Klamath River Tributaries</i>	4-30
4.2.4.2	Effects of Suction Dredging on Thermal Refugia.....	4-31
4.2.4.3	Nutrients and Organic Matter.....	4-32

Chapter 4. References	4-35
------------------------------------	------

CHAPTER 5. KLAMATH RIVER TMDLs – ALLOCATIONS and NUMERIC TARGETS

5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.1.1 Numeric Targets.....	5-1
5.1.1.1 Temperature Numeric Targets.....	5-1
5.1.1.2 Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient-Related Numeric Targets.....	5-3
5.1.2 Loading Capacity, Allocations, and Margin of Safety	5-3
5.1.2.1 Temperature Loading Capacity, Allocations, and Margin of Safety	5-3
5.1.2.2 Dissolved Oxygen, Nutrient and Organic Matter Loading Capacity, Allocations, and Margin of Safety	5-5
5.2 Temperature-Related Numeric Targets and Allocations	5-11
5.2.1. Watershed-Wide Temperature-Related Targets and Load Allocations in California	5-11
5.2.1.1 Riparian Shade	5-11
5.2.1.2 Excess Sediment.....	5-14
5.2.2 Temperature Numeric Targets and Load Allocations at Stateline.....	5-15
5.2.3 Temperature Numeric Targets and Load Allocations to Copco 2 and Iron Gate	5-16
5.2.4 Temperature Numeric Targets and Waste Load Allocations to Iron Gate Hatchery.....	5-18
5.3 Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient-Related Numeric Targets and Allocations	5-19
5.3.1 Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient-Related Numeric Targets and Load Allocations at Stateline.....	5-18
5.3.2 Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient-Related Numeric Targets and Load Allocations to PacifiCorp Facilities in California	5-20
5.3.2.1 Copco 2 and Iron Gate Reservoir Targets	5-20
5.3.2.2 Copco 1 and 2 and Iron Gate Reservoir Load Allocations.....	5-21
5.3.3 Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient-Related Numeric Targets and Waste Load Allocations to Iron Gate Hatchery.....	5-25
5.3.4 Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient-Related Numeric Targets and Load Allocations to California Tributaries	5-26
Chapter 5. References	5-29

CHAPTER 6. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.1.1 Basin-Wide TMDL Implementation.....	6-1
6.1.2 Klamath Hydroelectric Project, Klamath Basin Restoration Agreement, and Dam Removal Agreement.....	6-2
6.1.3 Nonpoint Source Land Use Activities and Controls.....	6-3
6.1.4 Regulatory Requirements of TMDL Implementation Plans	6-4
6.1.5 Regulatory Tools.....	6-5
6.1.5.1 State Nonpoint Source Policy.....	6-6
6.1.6 Implementation Plan Organization	6-7
6.2 Implementation of Allocations and Targets – Stateline	6-8
6.2.1 Allocations and Targets	6-8

6.2.2	Responsible Parties	6-8
6.2.3	Implementation	6-9
6.2.3.1	Oregon	6-9
	<i>Oregon Department of Agricultural Water Quality Program</i>	6-9
6.2.3.2	Regional Water Board’s Role.....	6-10
6.2.3.3	Memorandum of Agreement to Coordinate State and Federal Agency TMDL Implementation Actions in the Klamath River Basin.....	6-11
6.3	Implementation of Allocations and Targets - Klamath Hydroelectric Project and Iron Gate Hatchery	6-11
6.3.1	Klamath Hydroelectric Project	6-11
6.3.1.1	Allocations and Targets.....	6-12
6.3.1.2	Responsible Parties.....	6-12
6.3.1.3	Implementation.....	6-12
	<i>Implementation Measures</i>	6-14
6.3.2	Iron Gate Hatchery.....	6-15
6.3.2.1	Allocations and Targets.....	6-15
6.3.2.2	Responsible Parties.....	6-15
6.3.2.3	Implementation.....	6-15
	<i>Implementation Measures</i>	6-15
6.4	Implementation of Allocations and Targets - Tributaries and Coordination with Existing Klamath River Tributary TMDLs.....	6-16
6.4.1	Allocations and Targets	6-16
6.4.2	Implementation	6-17
6.4.3	Lost River.....	6-17
6.4.3.1	Responsible Parties in the Lost River.....	6-20
6.4.3.2	Implementation.....	6-20
6.4.3.3	USBR/USFWS Proposed Management Agency Agreement	6-20
6.4.3.4	Coordination with ODEQ and US EPA	6-21
6.4.4	Shasta River	6-21
6.4.4.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-21
6.4.4.2	Implementation.....	6-21
6.4.5	Scott River	6-22
6.4.5.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-22
6.4.5.2	Implementation.....	6-22
6.4.6	Salmon River	6-22
6.4.6.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-22
6.4.6.2	Implementation.....	6-22
6.4.7	Trinity River.....	6-23
6.4.7.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-23
6.4.7.2	Implementation.....	6-23
6.5	Implementation of Allocations and Targets – Watershed-Wide	6-24
6.5.1	Allocations and Targets	6-25
6.5.1.1	Riparian Shade Allocations and Targets	6-25
6.5.1.2	Sediment Related Water Temperature Allocation and Targets.....	6-26
6.5.2	Watershed-wide Prohibition on Discharge of Excess Sediment.....	6-26
6.5.3	Implementation Measures to Protect Thermal Refugia	6-28

6.5.3.1	Flow.....	6-28
6.5.3.2	Prohibition of Discharge in and Around Known Thermal Refugia in the Klamath River Basin	6-29
	<i>Identification of Known Thermal Refugia in the Klamath River Basin in California</i>	6-29
	<i>Size of the Instream Buffer Area</i>	6-30
6.5.3.3	Coordination with the Department of Fish and Game	6-32
6.5.4	Road Construction and Maintenance	6-32
6.5.4.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-33
6.5.4.2	Existing Regulatory Structure	6-33
6.5.4.3	Implementation to Address Road-Related Discharges on Private Lands	6-33
	<i>Implementation Measures for Private Roads in the Klamath River Basin</i>	6-34
6.5.4.4	Implementation Measures to Address County Roads	6-34
	<i>Existing County Roads Program</i>	6-34
	<i>Implementation Measures for County Roads</i>	6-35
6.5.4.5	California Department of Transportation	6-36
	<i>Recommended Implementation Measures for Caltrans</i>	6-36
	<i>Implementation Measures for Caltrans Facilities</i>	6-37
6.5.5	Grazing.....	6-38
6.5.5.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-39
6.5.5.2	Implementation.....	6-39
	<i>Protection and Maintenance of Riparian Shade</i>	6-39
	<i>Control of Sediment Discharges</i>	6-39
	<i>Control of Nutrient and Organic Matter Discharges</i>	6-39
	<i>Ranch Water Quality Management Plans</i>	6-39
	<i>Implementation Measures</i>	6-40
6.5.6	Irrigated Agriculture	6-41
6.5.6.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-41
6.5.6.2	Implementation.....	6-41
	<i>Water Quality Management Plans</i>	6-41
	<i>Implementation Measures</i>	6-42
6.5.7	Timber Harvest	6-43
6.5.7.1	Responsible Parties.....	6-43
6.5.7.2	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection Forest Practice Rules.....	6-43
6.5.7.3	Maintenance of Riparian Vegetation that Meets the Riparian Shade Allocation	6-43
	<i>Process for determining prescriptions for timber harvest of riparian vegetation</i>	6-44
	<i>Reference Shade Conditions</i>	6-44
	<i>Where the existing overstory canopy is greater than the reference condition</i>	6-44

	<i>Where the existing overstory canopy is less than the reference conditions, or when the majority of trees (greater than 50%) are below their full site potential height</i>	6-44
	<i>Additional measures to protect Class III Streams</i>	6-45
	<i>Implementation Measures</i>	6-45
6.6	TMDL Implementation on Federally Managed Lands	6-45
6.6.1	Responsible Parties	6-46
6.6.2	USFS Plans and Policies	6-46
6.6.2.1	State Water Board and USFS guidance document	6-46
6.6.2.2	Northwest Forest Plan	6-47
6.6.2.3	Land and Resource Management Plans.....	6-47
6.6.3	Implementation Measures	6-47
6.6.3.1	Timber Harvest on Federally Managed Lands	6-48
	<i>USFS Water Quality Guidance Document</i>	6-48
	<i>Implementation Actions</i>	6-49
6.6.3.2	Grazing on Federally Managed Lands	6-49
	<i>USFS/State Water Board Guidance Document</i>	6-50
	<i>Implementation Actions</i>	6-51
6.6.3.3	Road Management on Federally Managed Lands	6-51
	<i>Matching Road Maintenance Needs to Available Funding</i>	6-52
	<i>State Water Board and USFS Guidance Document</i>	6-52
	<i>USFS Road Management Policy</i>	6-52
	<i>Existing Road Management in the Klamath National Forest</i>	6-53
	<i>Existing Road Management in Six Rivers National Forest</i>	6-53
	<i>Existing Road Management in Shasta-Trinity National Forest</i>	6-54
	<i>Implementation Measures</i>	6-54
6.6.3.4	Fire Management on Federally Managed Lands	6-54
6.7	Klamath River Water Quality Accounting and Tracking Program	6-55
6.7.1	Program Goals	6-56
6.7.2	Program Objectives.....	6-56
6.7.3	Next Steps	6-57
Chapter 6. References	6-58

CHAPTER 7. MONITORING PROGRAM

7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.1.1	Components of the TMDL Monitoring Program.....	7-1
7.1.2	Chapter Organization	7-2
7.2.	Overview of the Klamath Basin Water Quality Monitoring Coordination Framework	7-3
7.2.1	Overview of the KBWQMCG	7-4
7.2.2	KBWQMCG Monitoring Plan Statement of Purpose.....	7-5
7.2.3	KBWQMCG Monitoring Plan Goals	7-5
7.2.4	Description of Current KBWQMCG Members Monitoring Efforts and Maps.....	7-6
7.2.5	Schedule for Completion of the Klamath River Basin Coordinated Water Quality Monitoring Plan	7-6

7.3 California Klamath River TMDL Monitoring Stations, Compliance Points, and Station Objectives	7-8
7.4 Public Health Monitoring	7-8
7.4.1 Water Sampling	7-14
7.4.1.1 Sampling Locations	7-14
7.4.1.2 Sampling Frequency	7-15
7.4.1.3 Sampling Procedures	7-15
7.4.2 Tissue Sampling	7-17
7.4.2.1 Yellow Perch Tissue Sampling	7-17
7.4.2.2 Mussel Tissue Sampling	7-18
7.4.2.3 Data Quality	7-18
7.5 TMDL Ambient Compliance and Trend Monitoring	7-19
7.5.1 Continuous/ Multi-Probe Monitoring	7-19
7.5.2 Water Quality Grab Sample Parameters	7-19
7.5.3 Trend Monitoring Locations, Parameters, Frequency	7-21
7.6 Additional Monitoring Needs and Key Questions for Special Study Consideration	7-24
7.6.1 Comprehensive Water Quality Monitoring	7-24
7.6.2 Temperature / Fish Refugia	7-29
7.6.3 Relationship of Water Quality Conditions and Fish Disease	7-29
7.6.4 Blue-Green Algae / Cyanotoxins	7-30
7.6.5 Periphyton Characterization in the Mainstem Klamath River	7-30
7.7 Reassessment	7-30
Chapter 7. References	7-32

CHAPTER 8. ANTIDegradation ANALYSIS

8.1 Introduction	8-1
8.2 State and Federal Antidegradation Policies	8-1
8.3 Applicability to the Klamath River TMDL Action Plan	8-2

CHAPTER 9. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

9.1 California Environmental Quality Act Requirements for Exempt-Regulatory Programs	9-1
9.2 Description of the Proposed Activity	9-3
9.3 Environmental Setting and Land Uses	9-5
9.4. Analysis of Reasonable Alternatives to the Proposed Activity	9-8
9.4.1 No Action - No Change in Basin Plan Language or in Program Implementation	9-8
9.4.2 No Basin Plan Amendment and Increased Staff Focus on Implementation (and Development) of State and Regional Regulatory Programs	9-9
9.4.3 Adopt Basin Plan Amendments Based on the Federally Approved Klamath River TMDL and Proposed Regionwide DO Objective Revision	9-10
9.4.4 Adopt Basin Plan Amendment Based on the Klamath River TMDL developed by Regional Water Board staff (Recommended Alternative)	9-11

9.5	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures	9-12
9.5.1	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures Associated with Actions to Achieve Load Allocations and DO objectives at Stateline	9-14
9.5.1.1	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Actions to Achieve Load Allocations and Proposed Revised DO Objectives at Stateline	9-15
9.5.1.2	Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Actions to Achieve Load Allocations and Proposed Revised DO Objectives at Stateline	9-15
9.5.1.3	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Actions to Achieve Load Allocations and Proposed Revised DO Objectives at Stateline	9-16
9.5.2	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Klamath Hydroelectric Project	9-16
9.5.2.1	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Constructed Wetlands Treatment.....	9-17
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Constructed Wetlands Treatment</i>	9-18
	<i>Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Constructed Wetlands Treatment</i>	9-18
	<i>Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Constructed Wetlands Treatment</i>	9-18
9.5.2.2	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Mechanical Removal of Algae Biomatter	9-19
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Mechanical Removal of Algae Biomatter</i>	9-19
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Mechanical Removal of Algae Biomatter</i>	9-19
	<i>Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Mechanical Removal of Algae Biomatter</i>	9-20
9.5.2.3	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Conventional Wastewater Treatment.....	9-20
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Conventional Wastewater Treatment</i>	9-20
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Conventional Wastewater Treatment</i>	9-20
	<i>Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Conventional Wastewater Treatment</i>	9-21
9.5.2.4	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Wetlands Restoration.....	9-23

	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures for Wetlands Restoration</i>	9-23
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Wetlands Restoration</i>	9-23
	<i>Possible Mitigation Measures for Wetlands Restoration</i>	9-24
9.5.2.5	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Hypolimnetic Oxygenation	9-25
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures for Hypolimnetic Oxygenation</i>	9-25
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Hypolimnetic Oxygenation</i>	9-26
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures for Hypolimnetic Oxygenation</i>	9-26
9.5.2.6	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Epilimnion Circulation	9-27
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Epilimnion Circulation</i>	9-27
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Epilimnion Circulation</i>	9-27
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures with Epilimnion Circulation</i>	9-27
9.5.2.7	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Riparian Restoration.....	9-27
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Riparian Restoration</i>	9-27
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Riparian Restoration</i>	9-27
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures with Riparian Restoration</i>	9-28
9.5.2.8	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Selective Withdrawal from a Variable Outlet Structure.....	9-28
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated for Selective Withdrawal from a Variable Outlet Structure</i>	9-28
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts for Selective Withdrawal from a Variable Outlet Structure</i>	9-28
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures Protection for Selective Withdrawal from a Variable Outlet Structure</i>	9-29
9.5.2.9	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Curtain Installation at Iron Gate Dam	9-29
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated for Curtain Installation at Iron Gate Dam</i>	9-29
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts for Curtain Installation at Iron Gate Dam</i>	9-29
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures Protection for Curtain Installation at Iron Gate Dam</i>	9-29

9.5.2.10	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Turbine Venting.....	9-30
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated for Turbine Venting</i>	9-30
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts for Turbine Venting</i>	9-30
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures Protection for Turbine Venting</i>	9-30
9.5.3	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Iron Gate Hatchery.....	9-30
9.5.3.1	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated for Iron Gate Hatchery	9-31
9.5.3.2	Potential Environmental Impacts for Iron Gate Hatchery.....	9-31
9.5.3.3	Potential Mitigation Measures Protection for Iron Gate Hatchery.....	9-31
9.5.4	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Tributaries	9-31
9.5.4.1	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated for Tributaries	9-32
9.5.4.2	Potential Environmental Impacts for Tributaries	9-32
9.5.4.3	Potential Mitigation Measures Protection for Tributaries	9-33
9.5.5	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Actions to Comply with the Watershed Wide Allocations and Targets and Proposed Revised DO Objective	9-33
9.5.5.1	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Road and Crossing Construction and Maintenance Activities	9-33
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Possible Environmental Impacts and Potential Mitigation Measures to Control Impacts from Road Construction and Maintenance Activities</i>	9-36
	Analysis of Compliance Measures to Control Impacts from Road Construction and Maintenance Activities.....	9-37
	Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Road Related Compliance Measures.....	9-38
	Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Environmental Impacts Associated with Road Related Compliance Measures	9-38
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts and Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Stream Crossing Activities.....</i>	9-38
	Analysis of Compliance Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Crossing Activities.....	9-38
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Crossing Related Compliance Measures	9-39
	Possible Mitigation Measures Associated with Crossing Related Environmental Impacts	9-39
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts and Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Road Planning Activities</i>	9-39
	Analysis of Compliance Measures to Avoid Road Planning Related Impacts.....	9-39

	Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Road Planning Related Compliance Measures.....	9-40
	Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts with Road Planning Related Compliance Measures	9-40
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts and Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Road Decommissioning</i>	9- 40
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Road Decommissioning Activities.....	9- 40
	Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Road Decommissioning Compliance Measures.....	9-40
	Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Road Decommissioning Compliance Measures.....	9-40
9.5.5.2	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Grazing Activities.....</i>	9-41
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Grazing Management Practices</i>	9-42
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Grazing Management Practices	9-43
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Grazing Management Compliance Measures.....	9-43
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Grazing Management Practices	9-43
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Alternative Water Supply Practices</i>	9-44
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Alternative Water Supply Practices	9-44
	Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Alternative Water Supply Practices	9-44
	Potential Mitigation Measures Associated with Alternative Water Supply Practices.....	9-44
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures from Riparian Grazing Practices</i>	9-44
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Riparian Grazing Practices	9-45
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Riparian Grazing Practices	9-45
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Riparian Grazing Practices	9-45
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Monitoring Grazing Land Condition</i>	9-45

	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Monitoring Condition of Grazing Lands	9-45
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Monitoring Conditions of Grazing Lands	9- 45
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Monitoring Condition of Grazing Lands	9-45
9.5.5.3	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Irrigated Agriculture	9-46
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures from Nutrient Management Practices</i>	9-46
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Nutrient Management.....	9-47
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Nutrient Management.....	9-47
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Nutrient Management Practices	9-47
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures from Pesticide Management</i>	9-47
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Pesticide Management.....	9-47
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Implementation of Compliance Measures for Pesticide Management	9-47
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Implementation of Compliance Measures for Pesticide Management.....	9-48
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures from Erosion and Sediment Control Measures</i>	9-48
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Erosion and Sediment Control Practices.....	9-48
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Implementation of Compliance Measures for Erosion and Sediment Control	9-48
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Implementation of Compliance Measures for Erosion and Sediment Control	9-48
9.5.5.4	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures from Irrigation Management	9-49
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures Associated with Efficient Transportation of Irrigation Water</i>	9-49
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Efficient Transportation of Irrigation Water.....	9-49

	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Compliance Measures for the Efficient Transportation of Irrigation Water.....	9-49
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Implementation of Compliance Measures for the Efficient Transportation of Irrigation Water.....	9-49
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures Associated with Use of Runoff or Tailwater</i>	9-49
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Use of Runoff or Tailwater.....	9-50
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Compliance Measures for Use of Runoff or Tailwater	9-50
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Installation of Compliance Measures for Use of Runoff or Tailwater	9-50
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures Associated with the Management of Drainage Water</i>	9-50
	Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with the Management of Drainage Water.....	9-50
	Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Compliance Measures for the Management of Drainage Water	9-51
	Possible Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts from Compliance Measures for the Management of Drainage Water.....	9-51
9.5.5.5	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Timber Harvest Activities on Public and Private Land	9-51
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Timber Harvest Activities on Private Land</i>	9-52
	<i>Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Timber Harvest Activities on Private Land</i>	9-52
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Timber Harvest Activities on Private Land</i>	9-53
9.5.5.6	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures Associated with Fire Management on Federal Lands	9-53
	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Fire Management on Federal Land</i>	9-53
	<i>Possible Environmental Impacts Associated with Fire Management on Federal Land</i>	9-53
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures to Avoid Impacts Associated with Fire Management on Federal Land</i>	9-53
9.5.5.7	Analysis of Compliance Measures, Associated Environmental Impacts, and Potential Mitigation Measures for Thermal Refugia Protection.....	9-54

	<i>Analysis of Compliance Measures Associated with Thermal Refugia Protection</i>	9-54
	<i>Potential Environmental Impacts Associated with Thermal Refugia Protection</i>	9-54
	<i>Potential Mitigation Measures Protection of Thermal Refugia</i>	9-54
9.6	Alternative Means of Compliance	9-55
9.7	Environmental Checklist and Discussion of Findings	9-55
9.7.1	Environmental Checklist Cover Form	9-55
9.7.2	Environmental Checklist.....	9-57
9.7.3	Discussion of Environmental Checklist Findings.....	9-64
9.8	Alternative Means of Compliance	9-96
9.9	CEQA Determination	9-96
 CHAPTER 10. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS		
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Scope of the Economic Analysis	10-1
10.2.1	Existing Requirements	10-1
10.2.2	Geographic Scope	10-2
10.2.3	Methodology	10-3
10.3	Estimated Costs of Compliance	10-3
10.3.1	PacifiCorp	10-3
10.3.2	Irrigated Agriculture	10-4
10.3.3	Grazing.....	10-6
10.3.4	Suction Dredging	10-8
10.3.5	Iron Gate Hatchery.....	10-8
10.3.6	Roads.....	10-9
10.3.7	Timber.....	10-11
10.3.8	Summary	10-12
10.4	Sources of Funding	10-13
10.4.1	Funding Source Provided through the Agreement In Principle (AIP).....	10-13
10.4.2	Summary of Pertinent State Funding Programs.....	10-13
10.4.2.1	Agricultural Drainage Loan Program.....	10-13
10.4.2.2	Agricultural Drainage Management Loan Program	10-13
10.4.2.3	Agricultural Water Quality Grants Program	10-14
10.4.2.4	Federal Clean Water Act Section 319 Nonpoint Source Implementation Program	10-14
10.4.2.5	Clean Water State Revolving Fund	10-14
10.4.3	Summary of Pertinent Federal Funding Programs.....	10-14
Chapter 10	References	10-23
 CHAPTER 11. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION		
11.1	Public Outreach	11-1
11.1.1	CEQA Scoping Meetings.....	11-1
11.1.2	Klamath River TMDL Webpage	11-2
11.2	Presentations to the Regional Water Board	11-2
11.3	Presentations to Various Organizations and Conferences	11-2

11.4 Other Meetings about the Klamath River TMDL	11-3
11.5 Peer Review	11-4
11.6 Public Draft and Opportunities for Public Input	11-4

APPENDICES

- Appendix 1: Proposed Site-Specific Dissolved Oxygen Objective for the Klamath River in California.
- Appendix 2: Nutrient Numeric Endpoint Analysis for the Klamath River, CA.
- Appendix 3: Nutrient Dynamics in the Klamath River.
- Appendix 4: Effects of Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen/Total Dissolved Gas, Ammonia, and pH on Salmonids.
- Appendix 5: Fish and Fishery Resources of the Klamath River Basin.
- Appendix 6: Klamath River Model for TMDL Development.
- Appendix 7: Response to Peer Review Comments on Draft Klamath River TMDLs.
- Appendix 8: Maps of the Klamath River Basin in California Showing the Locations of Known Thermal Refugia.

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1:	Klamath River Basin Showing Rivers, Lakes and Reservoirs, Population Centers, and Major Roads.....	1-2
Figure 1.2:	Land Ownership in the Klamath River Basin	1-11
Figure 1.3:	Land Elevation in the Klamath River Basin	1-12
Figure 1.4:	Geomorphic Provinces in the Klamath River Basin	1-13
Figure 1.5:	Geologic Map of the Klamath River Basin.....	1-15
Figure 1.6:	Vegetation and Land Cover of the Klamath River Basin	1-17
Figure 1.7:	Average Annual Rainfall in the Klamath River Basin.....	1-18
Figure 1.8:	Average Monthly Precipitation, 1905-2003, in Klamath Falls, Oregon and Orleans, California.....	1-19
Figure 1.9:	Map of Klamath River Basin Emphasizing Subbasins and Surface Drainage	20
Figure 1.10:	Monthly Average Flows at Five Klamath River Locations, Water Years 1963-2005	1-21
Figure 1.11:	Estimated Unimpaired Klamath River Flows at Seiad Valley, California, and Historic Monthly Average Klamath River Flow at Seiad Valley, California; Water Years 1952-2004	1-23

CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1:	Relationship of chlorophyll-a and <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> (MSAE) cell density at monitoring stations along the Klamath River (2005-2007) from above Copco Reservoir to the lower Klamath River estuary.....	2-19
Figure 2.2:	Relationship of chlorophyll-a and microcystin at monitoring stations along the Klamath River (2005-2007) from above Copco Reservoir to the lower Klamath River estuary	2-20
Figure 2.3:	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> (MSAE) cell density exceedance probability plotted as a function of chlorophyll-a concentration (10 µg/L) for Iron Gate and Copco Reservoirs using data collected by the Karuk Tribe of California Natural Resources Department for the years 2005, 2006, and 2007 during peak growing season (June – August).....	2-21
Figure 2.4:	Probability of exceeding various WHO public health <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> (MSAE) cell density levels at varying Chl-a concentration (a), and probability of exceeding various WHO public health microcystin toxin levels at varying Chl-a concentration (b) in Copco and Iron Gate Reservoirs and the Klamath River, 2005-2007	2-22
Figure 2.5:	Relationship of <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> (MSAE) cell density and microcystin concentrations for stations along the Klamath River from above Copco Reservoir to the lower Klamath River estuary for the years 2006 and 2007 (Kann 2009).	2-23
Figure 2.6:	Microcystin exceedance probability plotted as a function of <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> cell density for Iron Gate and Copco Reservoirs using data collected by the Karuk Tribe of California Natural Resources Department and PacifiCorp for the years 2005, 2006, and 2007 during peak growing season (June – August).....	2-24

Figure 2.7:	Nutrient Conceptual Model for the Klamath River in California	2-28
Figure 2.8:	Temperature Conceptual Model for the Klamath River in California.....	2-29
Figure 2.9:	Life cycle of <i>C. shasta</i> showing release of the myxospore stage from the infected fish, the polychaete alternate host, and release of the alternate actinospore stage from the polychaete.....	2-33
Figure 2.10:	Severity of <i>Ceratomyxosis</i> in Klamath River suggests a shift in the host/parasite balance towards <i>C. shasta</i>	2-34
Figure 2.11 :	Measured Klamath River MWMTs, 2000-2005.....	2-49
Figure 2.12:	Current and Estimated Natural Temperatures Downstream of Iron Gate Dam, with difference in temperature, 2000	2-50
Figure 2.13:	Simulated Klamath River temperatures, by week, 2000-2004	2-51
Figure 2.14:	Klamath River Tributary Mouth MWMTs Stream Temperatures 2000-2005.....	2-52
Figure 2.15:	Dissolved oxygen and temperature depth profiles in Iron Gate Reservoir – average for July and August 2000 – 2005	2-53
Figure 2.16:	Comparison of total phosphorous concentrations for existing conditions (consolidated monitoring data 1996-2007) with estimated (TMDL model) natural conditions baseline at Klamath River monitoring stations in California.....	2-55
Figure 2.17:	Comparison of total nitrogen concentrations for existing conditions (consolidated monitoring data 1996-2007) with estimated (TMDL model) natural conditions baseline at Klamath River monitoring stations in California.....	2-56
Figure 2.18:	Consolidated benthic algal biomass monitoring results (summer mean and maximum) for 2003 - 2007 with CA NNE/TMDL numeric target	2-56
Figure 2.19:	Example diurnal DO and pH cycle below Iron Gate Dam, summer 2006	2-57
Figure 2.20:	Example diurnal DO and pH cycle above the Shasta River, summer 2004	2-58
Figure 2.21:	Example diurnal DO and pH cycle at Seiad Valley, summer 2002.....	2-58
Figure 2.22:	Comparison of central tendencies of summer (May – September) chlorophyll-a measurements for 2005, 2006, and 2007 at twenty monitoring stations along the Klamath River.....	2-59
Figure 2.23:	Longitudinal analysis of summer (May through September) chlorophyll-a concentrations from 2005 – 2007 along the Klamath River.....	2-60
Figure 2.24:	Summer mean and maximum concentrations of chlorophyll-a (2000 – 2007) at four stations within the Iron Gate and Copco Reservoirs	2- 61
Figure 2.25:	Percent of DO measurements below the Basin Plan Water Quality Objective of 8.0 mg/L for 2005 at nine stations along the Klamath River.....	2-64
Figure 2.26:	Calculated percent DO saturation at nine stations on the Klamath River for 2005 based on data sonde measurements made by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.....	2-65
Figure 2.27:	Percent of 2005 pH measurements in the Klamath River that exceed 8.5.....	2-67
Figure 2.28:	Mapped extent of stream channels substantially altered by sediment loads associated with the 1997 flood.....	2-68
Figure 2.29:	Fish Kill Years and Locations in the Klamath River in California.....	2-72

CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1:	Model segments in Oregon and Northern California.....	3-3
Figure 3.2:	Model segments in California.....	3-3

CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1: Current Total Phosphorous Annual Loading Diagram 4-6

Figure 4.2: Current Total Nitrogen Annual Loading Diagram..... 4-7

Figure 4.3: Current Organic Matter (as CBOD) Annual Loading Diagram..... 4-8

Figure 4.4: Estimated temperature changes at Stateline due to reservoirs and irrigation
return flows upstream 4-11

Figure 4.5: Estimated change in daily maximum temperature at Stateline resulting from
altered flows, 2000 simulation year 4-12

Figure 4.6: Comparison of Current Annual TP, TN, and CBOD Loads at Stateline
to Natural Conditions Baseline Loads 4-13

Figure 4.7: Calculated change in daily maximum Klamath River temperatures resulting
from the presence of Copco Reservoir for the 2000 calendar year..... 4-14

Figure 4.8: Calculated change in daily maximum Klamath River temperatures resulting
from the presence of Iron Gate Reservoir for the 2000 calendar year..... 4-15

Figure 4.9: Comparison of PO₄ concentrations immediately downstream of Iron Gate
Reservoir illustrating contribution of nutrient flux from reservoir sediments
under anoxic conditions (summer stratification) 4-18

Figure 4.10: Change in Klamath River daily maximum temperatures resulting from
current and Shasta TMDL compliant Shasta River conditions..... 4-24

Figure 4.11: Change in Klamath River daily maximum temperatures resulting from
current and estimated natural Shasta River conditions 4-24

Figure 4.12: Estimated daily average Shasta River temperatures at the mouth of the
Shasta River for the three management scenarios evaluated 4-25

Figure 4.13: Change in Klamath River daily maximum temperatures resulting from
current and Scott TMDL compliant Scott River conditions 4-26

Figure 4.14: Change in Klamath River daily maximum temperatures resulting from
current and originally estimated natural Scott River conditions..... 4-26

Figure 4.15: Change in Klamath River daily maximum temperatures resulting from
current and revised natural Scott River conditions estimates 4-27

Figure 4.16: Estimated daily average Scott River temperatures at the mouth of the
Scott River for three scenarios 4-27

Figure 4.17: Comparison of estimated daily average Scott River Temperature conditions
to estimated daily average Klamath River conditions 4-28

Figure 4.18: Change in Klamath River daily maximum temperatures resulting from
current and estimated natural Trinity River conditions 4-29

Figure 4.19: Change in Klamath River daily maximum temperatures resulting from
current and Trinity ROD compliant Trinity River conditions 4-29

Figure 4.20: Current Total Annual Loading (Pounds/Year) of Total Phosphorus, Total
Nitrogen, and CBOD to the Klamath River from California Tributaries 4-33

Figure 4.21: Shasta River Comparison of Current Loads (pounds/year) of TP, TN, and
CBOD with Natural Conditions Baseline Loads 4-34

CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1: Annual Total Phosphorous Loading Capacity and Allocations for the Klamath
River in California 5-6

Figure 5.2: Annual Total Nitrogen Loading Capacity and Allocations for the Klamath

	River in California	5-7
Figure 5.3:	Annual Organic Matter (CBOD) Loading Capacity and Allocations for the Klamath River in California.....	5-8
Figure 5.4:	Effective shade vs. channel width for various channel orientations, Douglas Fir and mixed hardwood-conifer forests.	5-12
Figure 5.5:	Effective shade vs. channel width for various channel orientations, Klamath mixed conifer and Ponderosa Pine forests	5-13
Figure 5.6:	Effective shade vs. channel width for various channel orientations, oak woodland forest.....	5-13
Figure 5.7:	Natural temperature change through the Copco Reservoir reaches.....	5-17
Figure 5.8:	Natural temperature change through the Iron Gate Reservoir reach	5-18
Figure 5.9:	Loading Condition Comparison Below Iron Gate Dam for Total Phosphorus.....	5-23
Figure 5.10:	Loading Condition Comparison Below Iron Gate Dam for Total Nitrogen	5-23
Figure 5.11	Loading Condition Comparison Below Iron Gate Dam for CBOD	5-24
Figure 5.12:	Illustrated Conceptual Model of Reservoir Compliance Lens for Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen.....	5-25

CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1:	Map of Klamath Hydroelectric Project Facilities. Link River Dam is not part of KHP.	6-12
Figure 6.2:	The Lost River basin.....	6-18
Figure 6.3:	Conceptual diagram of proposed buffers in and around the confluence of a tributary providing thermal refugia.....	6-31

CHAPTER 7

Figure 7.1:	KBWQMCG Monitoring Sites in 2008 by Organization	7-7
Figure 7.2:	Location of California Klamath River TMDL Monitoring Stations – Compliance, Public Health, and Special Study	7-13

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

Table 1.1:	Klamath River Water Quality Impairments in California.....	1-3
Table 1.2:	Status of TMDLs in the Klamath River Basin.....	1-4
Table 1.3:	Fully Appropriated Klamath River Reaches and Tributaries to the Klamath River in California	1-24
Table 1.4:	Summary of Water Rights in the Klamath River Basin in California	1-25

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1:	Hoopla Valley Tribe Numeric Nutrient Criteria	2-11
Table 2.2:	Hoopla Valley Tribe Numeric Nutrient and Toxicity Related Criteria	2-11
Table 2.3:	Karuk Tribe, Resighini Rancheria, and Yurok Tribe Narrative Objectives and Criteria for the Klamath River in California.....	2-12
Table 2.4:	Karuk Tribe Numeric Water Quality Objectives	2-14
Table 2.5:	Resighini Rancheria Numeric Water Quality Criteria	2-14
Table 2.6:	Yurok Tribe Numeric Water Quality Objectives.....	2-14
Table 2.7:	Percent exceedance for MSAE cell densities and microcystin toxin concentrations at threshold chlorophyll a of 10 µg/L, and percent exceedance for microcystin toxin concentrations at threshold MSAE cell density of 20,000 cells/ml; Klamath River, California 2005-2007	2-25
Table 2.8:	MWMT Chronic Effects Temperature Thresholds.....	2-48
Table 2.9:	Lethal Temperature Thresholds	2-48
Table 2.10:	Summary of blue-green algae and microcystin monitoring data for 2006, 2007, and 2008.....	2-63
Table 2.11:	Percent of DO measurements below Basin Plan Water Quality Objective of 8.0 mg/L for 2004 – 2006 at nine stations along the Klamath River.....	2-64
Table 2.12:	Percent of calculated percent DO saturation estimates below the proposed Basin Plan Water Quality Objective of 85% saturation for 2004 – 2006 at nine stations along the Klamath River	2-65
Table 2.13:	Percent of pH measurements above 8.5 for 2004 – 2006 at nine stations along the Klamath River.....	2-66
Table 2.14:	Juvenile Fish Kill Locations and Causes in the Klamath River in California	2-73
Table 2.15:	Adult Fish Kill Locations and Causes in the Klamath River in California	2-73
Table 2.16:	Karuk, Yurok, and Quartz Valley Tribes Cultural Beneficial Uses (CUL and FISH) of the Klamath River and Tributaries	2-81
Table 2.17:	Detection of Microcystin in Fish Tissue and Liver Samples from the Klamath River and Reservoirs	2-85
Table 2.18:	Estimates of Maximum Dollars for the ex-Vessel price of the Commercial Ocean Salmon Fishery for the Four Major Ports within the KMZ from 1976-1990 and 1991-2001.	2-87

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1:	Models applied to each Klamath River and estuary segment	3-2
Table 3.2:	Shasta River water quality model validation results.....	3-12

Table 3.3:	Estimated and measured flows at USGS’ “Scott River near Fort Jones” gauge	3-15
------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1:	Klamath River Anthropogenic Pollutant Source Categories Impacting Water Quality Parameters of Concern	4-3
Table 4.2:	Current and Natural Conditions Baseline Nutrient and Organic Matter Loadings to the Klamath River in California.....	4-9
Table 4.3:	Hydraulic Parameters for Klamath Reservoirs (May 2004 - May 2005).....	4-16
Table 4.4	TMDL Model Estimates of Current Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen Loads at Stateline, Copco Outlet, and Iron Gate Outlet	4-19
Table 4.5	Estimated Nutrient Retention and Export for Copco and Iron Gate Reservoirs	4-20

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1:	Summary of Klamath River TMDLs Numeric Targets and Allocations	5-2
Table 5.2:	Total Phosphorus TMDL (lbs.).....	5-10
Table 5.3:	Total Nitrogen TMDL (lbs.)	5-10
Table 5.4:	Total Organic Matter TMDL (lbs.).....	5-11
Table 5.5:	Temperature Numeric Targets (°C) at Stateline, Expressed as Monthly Averages	5-15
Table 5.6:	Temperature Numeric Targets for Iron Gate and Copco Reservoir Tailrace Waters, Expressed as Monthly Averages.....	5-16
Table 5.7:	Temperature Load Allocations for Reservoir Tailrace Waters, Expressed as Increase Relative to Inflow	5-18
Table 5.8:	Temperature Numeric Targets for Iron Gate Hatchery, Expressed as Monthly Averages.	5-18
Table 5.9:	Dissolved Oxygen Numeric Targets (mg/L) at Stateline.....	5-19
Table 5.10:	Nutrient and Organic Matter Monthly Mean Concentrations (mg/L) Allocations at Stateline.	5-20
Table 5.11:	Dissolved Oxygen Numeric Targets (mg/L) for Copco 2 and Iron Gate Tailraces	5-20
Table 5.12:	Nutrient and Organic Matter Monthly Mean Concentration Targets (mg/L) for Copco 2 and Iron Gate Tailraces.....	5-21
Table 5.13:	Nutrient and Organic Matter Monthly Mean Concentration Targets (mg/L) for Mid-Point of Copco 1 and Iron Gate Reservoirs.....	5-21
Table 5.14:	Dissolved Oxygen Numeric Targets (mg/L) for Iron Gate Hatchery Discharge..	5-26
Table 5.15:	Nutrient and Organic Matter Monthly Mean Concentration Targets (mg/L) for Iron Gate Hatchery Based on California Compliance Conditions With No Dams	5-26
Table 5.16:	Dissolved Oxygen Numeric Targets (mg/L) for the Klamath River Mainstem Below the Salmon River	5-26
Table 5.17:	Nutrient and Organic Matter Monthly Mean Targets (mg/L) for Klamath River Below the Salmon River	5-27
Table 5.18:	Nutrient and Organic Matter Seasonal Monthly Mean Concentration Allocations (mg/L) for Tributaries to the Klamath River	5-27

Table 5.19: Nutrient and Organic Matter Annual Monthly Mean Concentration Allocations (mg/L) for Tributaries to the Klamath River	5-28
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------

CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1: Nonpoint Source Regulatory Mechanisms for Implementation of Klamath River TMDLs	6-3
Table 6.2: Responsible Parties for Implementation of Nonpoint Source Regulatory Mechanisms	6-4
Table 6.3: Completed TMDLs for the major tributaries of the Klamath River basin.....	6-16
Table 6.4: Lost River TMDLs and Allocations by Segment	6-19
Table 6.5: Tributaries to the Klamath River Known to Provide Thermal Refugia In and Around Their Confluence.	6-30

CHAPTER 7

Table 7.1: Members of the KBWQMCG as of March 18, 2008.....	7-4
Table 7.2: Organizations collecting water quality data in the Klamath River basin as of February 15, 2009	7-6
Table 7.3: California Klamath TMDL Monitoring Locations, Parameters, and Objectives	7-9
Table 7.4: 2009 Klamath River Sampling Sites for Public Health Monitoring of Cyanobacteria and Cyanotoxins in Surface Water Samples and the Entities Responsible for 2009 Sample Collection.....	7-15
Table 7.5: Klamath River AIP Monitoring Program 2009 – Summary Table of Public Health Monitoring Locations, Constituents, Method, and Frequency	7-16
Table 7.6: Sample Sites in the Klamath River for 2009-2010 Monitoring of Cyanotoxins in Mussel Tissue.....	7-18
Table 7.7: Klamath River TMDL Monitoring Program Trend Monitoring Location, Parameter, Frequency – Summary Table.....	7-22

CHAPTER 9

Table 9.1: Anthropogenic Pollutant Source Land Use Categories	9-6
---------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER 10

Table 10.1: Costs to PacifiCorp of Interim Compliance Measures	10-4
Table 10.2: Estimated Costs to Irrigated Agriculture of Reasonably Foreseeable Compliance Measures	10-4
Table 10.3: Costs to Grazing of Reasonably Foreseeable Compliance Measures.....	10-7
Table 10.4: Estimated Costs for Reasonably Foreseeable Compliance Measures for Roads.....	10-9
Table 10.5: Estimated Costs to Timber Operators of Reasonably Foreseeable Compliance Measures	10-11
Table 10.6: Estimated Costs of Coho Recovery Actions for the Klamath River Basin.....	10-12
Table 10.7: Summary of Pertinent Federal Funding Programs.....	10-15

CHAPTER 11

Table 11.1: Public Meetings for the Klamath River TMDL.....	11-1
-------------------------------------------------------------	------

Table 11.2: Presentations Given at Regional Water Board Meetings..... 11-2
Table 11.3: Presentations Given at Other Organization’s Public Meetings
and Conferences..... 11-3